

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,826	12/08/2003	Norbert Kock	P24554	9279	
	590 12/13/2004		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			KASTLER, SCOTT R		
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1-2		\mathcal{A}			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Anti- C	10/728,826	KOCK ET AL.	1			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Scott Kastler	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
. I HE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thir ill apply and will expire SIX (6.00 M)	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time TANDONES (25 LLS 0 143)	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	ctober 2004					
2a)⊠	his action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7,11-13,21,22 and 24-37 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7,11-13,21,22 and 24-37 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.	n from consideration.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/ard	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exam	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 -	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	on is required if the drawing(nminer. Note the attached	s) is objected to. See 37 CF Office Action or form PT	FR 1.121(d). O-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau are the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Ap y documents have been r (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage			
\ttachment(
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
i) 📙 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re	4	on Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Da	- 20044200			

Application/Control Number: 10/728,826

Art Unit: 1742

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 7, 11-13, 21, 22 and 24-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 16, 3-15 and 22-27 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,689,228 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 10-18-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the '228 patent deals with rolling stock rather than rails is not persuasive because the '228 patent defines rolling stock as rails (see col. 2 lines 62-67 for example) specifically running rails and/or railroad rails. Further, in claim 2 of the '228 patent the term "rails" is employed in place of "rolling stock" further showing the interchangeability of these terms in the context of the '228 patent. With respect to applicant's argument with respect to instant claim 7, the "liquid cooling devices" of the instant claims are defined in the specification as submersion basins. See page 5, 1st paragraph of the originally filed specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,826

Art Unit: 1742

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,826

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk